## **Delegated Decision Notice (DDN)**

This form is the written record of a key, significant operational or administrative decision taken by an officer.

Decision type	☐ Key Decision			☐ Administrative			
		Operational Decision		Decision			
Approximate	☐ Below £500,000	☐ below £25,000		☐ below £25,000			
value	£500,000 to £1,000,000	☐ £25,000 to	£100,000	£25,000 to £100,000			
	over £1,000,000	£100,000 to £500,000					
		☑ Over £500	,000				
Director <sup>1</sup>	Director of Communities, Housing and Environment						
Contact person:	Michael Field		Telephone number: 01133781361				
Subject <sup>2</sup> :	Contract Award for the Replacement of Heat Interface Units in connection with						
	District Heating and Hot Water at Cottingleys and Saxton Gardens.						
Decision	What decision has been taken?						
details <sup>3</sup> :	(Set out all necessary decisions to be taken by the decision taker including decisions in						
	relation to exempt information, exemption from call-in etc.)						
	The Director of Communities Herring and Engineers of the communities						
	The Director of Communities, Housing and Environment has approved the award of a contract to Sayes Service Limited for the sum of £2,569,012.10 to						
	deliver the replacement of 542 Heat Interface Units (HIUs) and associated						
	works at two sites, Cottingley High Rise blocks and Saxton Gardens.						
	A brief statement of the reasons for the decision						
	(Include any significant financial, procurement, legal or equalities implications, having consulted with Finance, PACS, Legal, HR and Equality colleagues as appropriate)						
	This report seeks approval to award a new contract to Sayes Service Limited						
	for the replacement of 542 Heat Interface Units. The Heat Interface Units were						
	installed some time ago and are now reaching the end of their economic						
	lifespan. External funding has been secured from the government's Heat Network Efficiency Scheme (HNES). The rest of the budget will be funded by						
	the Housing Revenue Account.						

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Give title of Director with delegated responsibility for function to which decision relates.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> If the decision is key and has appeared on the list of forthcoming key decisions, the title of the decision should be the same as that used in the list.

used in the list <sup>3</sup> Simply refer to supporting report where used as these matters have been set out in detail.

Brief details of any alternative options considered and rejected by the decision maker at the time of making the decision  The options considered were:  1 Do Nothing. Discounted.  2 The authority to procure decision explored the options for completing the improvement works through the Internal Service Provider and this option was discounted as they did not have the capacity to complete the works due to timescales associated with external funding requirements, this was confirmed on 20th September 2023 by the Commercial Operational Service Manager of Leeds Building Services.  3 The Council to carry out its own procurement via a restricted above threshold tender was discounted due to the ability to carry this out within the required funding timescales.
<ul> <li>Do Nothing. Discounted.</li> <li>The authority to procure decision explored the options for completing the improvement works through the Internal Service Provider and this option was discounted as they did not have the capacity to complete the works due to timescales associated with external funding requirements, this was confirmed on 20<sup>th</sup> September 2023 by the Commercial Operational Service Manager of Leeds Building Services.</li> <li>The Council to carry out its own procurement via a restricted above threshold tender was discounted due to the ability to carry this out within</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>The authority to procure decision explored the options for completing the improvement works through the Internal Service Provider and this option was discounted as they did not have the capacity to complete the works due to timescales associated with external funding requirements, this was confirmed on 20<sup>th</sup> September 2023 by the Commercial Operational Service Manager of Leeds Building Services.</li> <li>The Council to carry out its own procurement via a restricted above threshold tender was discounted due to the ability to carry this out within</li> </ul>
improvement works through the Internal Service Provider and this option was discounted as they did not have the capacity to complete the works due to timescales associated with external funding requirements, this was confirmed on 20 <sup>th</sup> September 2023 by the Commercial Operational Service Manager of Leeds Building Services.  3 The Council to carry out its own procurement via a restricted above threshold tender was discounted due to the ability to carry this out within
threshold tender was discounted due to the ability to carry this out within
Affected wards: Beeston & Holbeck and Burmantofts & Richmond Hill
Details of Executive Member
consultation
undertaken <sup>4</sup> : Ward Councillors
Chief Digital and Information Officer <sup>5</sup>
Chief Asset Management and Regeneration Officer <sup>6</sup>
Others
Implementation Officer accountable, and proposed timescales for implementation
Michael Field Technical Services Manager
Michael Field – Technical Services Manager
It is antisipated that the contract will commone in Echryon, 2024 with completion
It is anticipated that the contract will commence in February 2024 with completion by November 2024.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Include details of any interest disclosed by an elected Member on consultation and the date of any relevant dispensation given. <sup>5</sup> See Officer Delegation Scheme (Executive Functions) CDIO must be consulted in relation to all matters relating to the Council's use of

digital technology
6 See Officer Delegation Scheme (Executive Functions) CAMRO must be consulted in relation to all matters relating to the Council's land and buildings.

Forthcoming								
Key Decisions <sup>7</sup>	If Special Urgency or General Exception a brief statement of the reason why it is impracticable to delay the decision							
	If Special Urgency Relevant Scrutiny Chair(s) approval							
	Signature Date							
Publication of report <sup>8</sup>	If not published for 5 clear working days prior to decision being taken the reason why not possible:							
	If published late relevant Executive member's approval							
	Signature		Date					
Call-in	Is the decision available <sup>9</sup> for call-in?	☐ Yes		□ No				
	If exempt from call-in, the reason why call-in would prejudice the interests of the council or the public:							
Approval of	Authorised decision maker <sup>10</sup>							
Decision	The Director of Communities, Housing and Environment- James Rogers							
	Signature	. ,	Date 07/2/24					

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 2.4 - 2.6. Complete this section for key decisions only
 <sup>8</sup> See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 3.1. Complete this section for key decisions only
 <sup>9</sup> See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 5.1. Significant operational decisions taken by officers are never available for call-in. Key decisions are always available for call-in unless they have been exempted from call-in under rule 5.1.3. <sup>10</sup> Give the post title and name of the officer with appropriate delegated authority to take the decision.